P 13

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

Pol 48-05-4
-175-14-1.

1915. 16
(Police)

File No. 197-15

Serial Nos. 1-4

Later references.

Pol 58-36 (1-6)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-4

Part B for Nov 16

Preserve
Nov
4/7/19

SUBJECT.

Fixing a time limit for the submission of final reports by the police

---

4) To The DC of Dks } J 1638-4
" I.G. of Police } of 6-11-16.
" Reg. Ch Ct }

As regard the subm of the Report, Govt agree with the Ch Ct

Mehrotra 26/11/16

W D 58—GPB—50,000—21-7-16

G.R.S. 11] 1915/16

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Police)

NOTES.

File No. 19-7-15

FILE HEADING.

Fixing a time limit for the submission of final reports by the police.

From

The I. G. of Police in Empore.

No. 4214-537 Dated 9/10 . 2 . 16 Received 11 . 2 . 16

Diary No. J2241	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

H. M. with reference to G.P. no. J3032-8-Pol 175-16-1 Bf 8.3.15 directing that a time limit be fixed for submission of final reports by the police, states that this may be done in cases referred to the police by magistrates and that it is not desirable to fix any such limit in cases taken up by the police themselves and requests that the time limit of 2 years prescribed for submission of C forms may be reduced to six months if not done away with.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

1) Submd. for orders with references.

B.F. 16.5.16.

2) There are three points dealt with in the present report.

1. Fixing dates for the submission

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB 35,000—15-6-12

G. R. S. 12]

(2)

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Police File No. 197 of 1915-16

Serial No.

of trial reports in cases referred to the Police for investigation by Magistrates (G.O 3032-3 dated 8/3/15).

7L M Pol 175-14 (2) Similar limitation of time for the completion of the investigation of cognisable cases taken up by the Police. (Ibid)

(3) Modification of the rule requiring a period of two years to elapse before finally reporting cases as unsubstantiable (G.O. 1607-16 d 21-11-05)

7L H Pol 48-05-4

3). As regards (1) the D.G. admits that the rule is fair and can be enforced. On this point therefore no orders are necessary.

4) With reference to cases falling under (2), it is urged that if a rigid time limit be fixed, cases would be dropped and never heard after the prescribed time elapsed, and that the ends of justice would be defeated. But for this apprehension there seems to be little reason. The superior officer of Police might nevertheless fix a time (and if necessary, extend the time thus fixed) for the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—70,000—17-12-15

2241

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Pol File No. 197 of 1915.

(3)

Serial No.

completion of investigations. There need be nothing rigid or embarassing about such an arrangement

5) (3) As regards the modification of the rule regarding 'C' reports, there is no doubt that the retention of obsolete cases can be of no use while it is productive of inconvenience. When the report reaches the Magistrate, the case would have become such ancient history that even if there were any flaw in the investigation, he would be unable to rectify it. The Police themselves take no action when once investigation is completed; the case is kept alive as a matter of sheer formality.

6). The question has been discussed 7C H. Pol 48-05-4 before (vide notes of file 48-05). The Chief Court may be consulted.

Second Member) 17/5/16

7) Consult Chief Court — with reference to the Hy's representation re: 'C' reports.

18/5/16 10/5/16

8) Draft 18/5

629. 17.5.16.

Pol 18/5

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPS—70,000—17-12-15

In the Court of the Justice of the Peace, Kolar:

Dated the 29th day of January 1917.

The following witnesses were examined for prosecution:-

1. V. Ranghanatha Row
2. R. Narasimiah
3. Hadiah

2. The following exhibits were filed for defence:-

Exh D 1 Gun license No 37 of 1916 granted to Dr Freeman of Oorgaum by the District Magistrate, Mysore District.

Exh D 2 A receipt dated 29-6-1916 granted by Dr Freeman to the complainant for having received back a buck's head.

3. The accused made the following statement:-

Stanley E Jenkins.

Q. What have you got to say?

A. We went to camp at Kindiool. Dr Freeman shot a buck on the 25th or 26th of the month in the District Jungle then 300 yards of our camp in cultivated ground. I shot a sambur in the forest ~~Dirno~~ on the 28th. On the morning of the 29th complt. turned up at our camp. I told him we were shooting but had no license with us but they have been applied for. I also told him would go to Chamarajanagar and wire for the licenses and see him in the evening. He seemed fairly satified but took the buck head. We broke up camp and went to Chamrajanagar and to Mr Theobald who sent the licenses down to Coimbatore. When went to Bugavalli showed ~~[illegible]~~ the complainant the licenses and asked him to return the black buck head and skin complainant explained that Dr Freeman had shot him in presence did not ask him to return that to me. I told him a note the Dewan explaining where it had been shot and told promise to take care of the skin and preserve the

him no offensive names neither do I remember [illegible]ything

him.

Q. Did you shoot the bison in a preserve?

A. I shot the bison but was unaware whether it was or not.

Q. Have you any witnesses?

A. No.

Q. How long before going down did you apply for

A. 4or 5 weeks before through Mr Theobald

Q. Why did you a ply through him.

A. He was arranging the shoot and offered

Q. Did he send his men with you?

A. He sent one or two. Two of them.

Q. Who took you to the preserve?

A. Theobalds men did not know the Di[illegible] round our own took us to the shooting ground.

Q. Did any one tell you it was a preserve?

A. No, we were at Hondeklifor a week and never saw visor, watcher or guard or any one connected with the

Edward Thomas Freeman.

Q. What have you got to say?

A. On the morning of the 28th April I saw the compl ming to our camp at 6 or 7 in the morning. I was dres ties and I asked him to sit down and wait. He ask shot a buck, he was looking at the mask which was said yes. He asked for my license. I said it was not with Messrs Theobald in Nyasa City. He asked if I h thing else and I said Mr Jenkins had shot a bird the evening. He asked where the head was and I said he'd bringing it in. He said he would have to take proceed as we had no licenses and the Bison was not in the p I said I was very surprised to hear that was a fact asked who was in charge. He said he was and I prise at having not seen any one connected with

J 598 224

(4)

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Pol File No. 197 of 1915.

Serial No.	
	9) Draft subd. BS. 19-5-16. NRR. 10) Done NRR 19/5
2	Draft issued to the Registrar, Ch. Court; no. J4618 dt 24.5.16
3	from The Registrar, Chief Court no. R. dis 16 dt 5.7.16
	Stating that the judges are of opinion that a crime should be kept on the Registers for one year and that the Supdt. of Police may be given a discretion at any time thereafter to apply to the court for orders.
	11) Subd. for orders (Please see para 7 supra). The subject of fixing of time limits for BS. 30-9-16. Police reports came up for discussion in the R. A. of 1913 (Vide file linked). NRR
	12) Please put up draft according to the views of the Chief Court in regards the C Reports. As regards the time limits in the investigation of cognisable cases, it may be stated that the Superior Officers might themselves fix the time and if necessary extend the same from time to time. Accordingly. BS. 30.9.16
	13) Draft subd. NR. 15.10.16
	14) Submitted for kind approval and other orders. Extraordinary Member) Examined 18/10

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—70,000—17-12-15

f. 598

(5

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Pol File No. 197 of 1916.

Serial No.	
15	Draft approved by the Extraordinary member 21.10.16.
4	To The Dy. Comrs. of Dt. The S.P. of Police The Registrar Sessions Court No. 1638-44 6th. Nov: 1916 As regards the submission of C. Sessions Court agree with the Sessions Court.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—70,000—17-12-15

No. 4214
537

Encl.

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

Dated Bangalore, 9 February 191 6.

To

DIARY No. J 224

From

Diwan Bahadur C. SRIKANTESVARA AIYAR, B.A., B.L.,

Inspector General of Police in Mysore.

10/5-13 16
SECTION Police
FILE No. 14-78
SERIAL No. 1

To

D.M. Narasinga Rao Esquire, B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General Department.

Sir,

With reference to Government Proceedings No.J.3032-3 Police 175-14-1 dated the 8th March 1915, regarding the fixing of time limit for the submission by the Police of final reports, I have the honor to state that in cases referred to the Police by the Magistracy, such a course would seem to be fair on principle and could be enforced. These refer generally to non-cognizable cases.

2. As regards the complaints lodged before the Police in the first instance, in cognizable offences chiefly, the investigation in such cases is as a rule keenly watched by the District Superintendents who maintain a check register of crimes reported from taluks, so that there is scarcely any scope for the investigating officers to unnecessarily delay the submission of final reports in A or B forms. In cases of a heinous nature, weekly reports of progress made therein have also been sent to the Inspector General of Police by the District Superintendents through the Deputy Commissioners, all of whom as well as the Magistrates concerned are expected to keep a

sharp look-out and check all delays, should any occur. Further there are various other provisions of Law, such as periods of remand etc, which act as checks to unnecessary prolongation of investigation in true cases, wherein there may be any chances of detection.

3. Under such circumstances it would not be conducive to the proper handling of such cases, if any rigid time limit were fixed, for then, after such period, the cases would be dropped and never heeded, and ends of justice would be defeated.

4. On the other hand, the time limit of two years prescribed in Government Proceedings No.1407-16/Police 48-4 dated the 21st November 1905, as regards the submission of final C reports in undetectable cases, may with advantage be considerably reduced. In this connection, I would respectfully invite reference of Government to para 7 of the Police Administration Report for the year 1914-15, wherein the matter has been dealt with at length, and request that the time limit of two years fixed for the submission of C reports may be relaxed, the District Superintendents being given the discretion to order their submission on failure of all endeavours to detect, after the lapse of a reasonable time. If that is not agreeable, the said time limit may be reduced to say, some six months.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Asst. to the Inspr-General of Police

For Inspector General of Police.

Absent from station.

G. R. S. 26]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.

Section Police

File No. 197-15

Serial No. 2

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 191 .

No. J 4618/Em: one

Date of issue 24-5-1916

To

The Registrar, Chief Court

of Mysore

Signed by Mr. N. Madhava Rao

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith an extract from letter no. 4214-537 dated the 9th February 1916 from the D. G. of Police in Mysore regarding the reduction of the time limit fixed for the submission of final challans in criminal cases

over.

The Typing Section will please make an extract of para 4 of the D. G.'s letter.

Chan 22/5

I am directed to request you to be so good as to intimate the views of the Chief Court regarding the D.S.'s ~~suspension~~ proposal

---------- Sd/- etc.

NW
---------- for Secy. to Govt.
Gl. Dept.

B.T.
19.6.16. NR

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—20,000—11-2-14

R. Dis. No 16.

Chief Court of Mysore,

Bangalore, 5th September 1916

CRL & REV. SECTT.
8 SEP 1916

DIARY No. J 6178
1916 - 17
Police
197-15
3

From,

N.T. GOPALIENGAR Esquire, B.A., LL.B.,

Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore,

Bangalore.

To,

D.M. NARASINGA RAO Esquire, B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to Government of Mysore,

General Department.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. J 4618/Police 197-15-2 dated the 24th May 1916, forwarding an extract from letter No. 4214-537 dated the 9th February 1916 from the Inspector General of Police in Mysore regarding the reduction of the time limit fixed for the submission of final C reports in Criminal cases and requesting that the views of this Court regarding the Inspector General's proposal may be intimated to the Government, I am directed to state that after consulting the Sessions Judges and District Magistrates the Judges are of opinion that a crime should be kept on the registers for one year that the Superintendent of Police may be given a discretion at any time thereafter to apply to the Court for orders.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

N. Gopaliengar

R.V.N.

Registrar.

BR

+ 3 for e.i

27.1.40

G.R.S. 28]

Time limit for the submn. of A, B & C reports by the police.

Police
File No. 197-15
Serial No. 4

*Diary No. of letter replied to*_____

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
(Police)

Dated the 6th Nov 1916.

Date of issue 6th Nov 1916.

Signed by Mr. G Sullivan Jr.

READ—

G.P. no. I 1407-16-Police 48-05-6 dated the 21st November 1905 reducing from three to two years the period for which undetectable criminal cases should be kept on the file before making application to the magistrate to strike them off.

~~ORDER THEREON.—NO.~~

2—Subject no. 56 of the

over.

May this be

i printed? Yes

ii treated as a standing order? Yes.

~~iii published in the Gazette (English part)~~

~~iv translated~~?

~~v placed at the disposal of the Press~~?

~~vi published in the Gazette (Kannada part)~~?

SUBJECT.

GPB—50,000—1-12-12

13/2.40

Representative Assembly Proceedings of 1913. in the matter of fixing a time limit for the submission of A, B & C Reports by the police and the reply of Govt thereto.

* * * 3. G.P. no. T3032-3-Police 175-14 H.M. dated the 8th March 1915 directing that date for the submn of reports in criminal cases should be fixed with a view to prevent avoidable delay in their disposal.

* * * 4. Letter no. 4214-537 dated the 9th February 1916 from the I.G. of Police in Mysore in the matter.

5. Letter no. R. Dis 16 dated the 5th September 1916 from the Registrar, Chief Court, stating that as regards the time limit for the submn of c reports the Judges are of opinion that a crime should be kept on the register for one year and that the Supdt of Police may be given the discretion to apply at any time thereafter to the court for orders.

* Printed as annexure.

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

Order No. J 1638-47 & 6.11.1916.

Govt. note that the Insp. General ~~are pleased to direct~~ that as regards the submission of ~~A and B~~ that reports in cases referred to the police by the magistracy the Govt orders contained in ~~their~~ Proc. of the 8th March 1915 read above be carried out. As regards cases in which the police themselves take up, Govt are of opinion that the Supdt of Police may himself fix a time for the submission of the final reports and extend it if necessary, informing the magistrate of the same from time to time. As regards the submission of C reports Govt agree with the opinion of the Chief Court and accordingly direct that the Supdt of Police be given discretion in all cases to order the submission of such reports any time after one year from the date of registering the same.

41 Mi

20.10.16.

Copy to the Deputy Secretary.

Sent 6/11/16

Rs 18/10
For Secy to Govt.
Genl. Dept.

To The Dy. Comrs. & Dts
The S.P. of Police in Mysore
The Registrar, Chief Court with ref. to No. J 1648/6.11.16.

R&S 13.10.16